Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number **20995****ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL LETTER**


Applicant : Denney et al.
App. No : 10/803,267
Filed : March 18, 2004
For : METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
DETECTING EMBEDDED REBAR
WITHIN AN INTERACTION
REGION OF A STRUCTURE
IRRADIATED WITH LASER LIGHT
Art Unit : 2877
Class/Sub-Class : 356-328000
Examiner : Fannie L. Evans

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

September 13, 2007

(Date)


Bruce S. Itchkawitz, Reg. No. 47,677**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**


Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- (X) Form PTOL-85.
- (X) A check in the amount of \$1,700 to cover the Total Fee Due is enclosed.
- (X) Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.


Bruce S. Itchkawitz
Registration No. 47,677
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995

LOMASR.026CP1



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Denney et al.

Group Art Unit 2877

Appl. No. : 10/803,267

Filed : March 18, 2004

For : METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
DETECTING EMBEDDED REBAR
WITHIN AN INTERACTION REGION
OF A STRUCTURE IRRADIATED
WITH LASER LIGHT

Examiner : Fannie L. Evans

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop- ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:


Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the limitations recited by the Examiner are not present in all of the claims.

To the extent that there is any implication that the patentability of the claims rests on the recitation of a single feature, Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Statement because it is the combination of features that makes the claims patentable. Accordingly, Applicants submit that the claims of the present application are allowable because each of the claims recites a combination of features that are not taught or suggested by the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 9/13/07

By: 
Bruce S. Itchkawitz
Registration No. 47,677
Attorney of Record

Appl. No. : **10/803,267**
Filed : **March 18, 2004**

2040 Main Street
Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
(949) 760-0404

4263398
091307